```
WEBVTT - This file was automatically generated by VIMEO
00:00:18.100 --> 00:00:18.800
everyone
00:00:27.200 --> 00:00:30.800
Thank you. It's a 10 minutes past 12. The preliminary
00:00:30.800 --> 00:00:32.900
 meeting is reconvened.
3
00:00:33.800 --> 00:00:36.000
So I think I'd like
00:00:36.500 --> 00:00:40.800
 at this stage to ask the statutory
00:00:39.800 --> 00:00:42.200
 post Mr. Griffith. Yes. Sorry
00:00:42.200 --> 00:00:45.500
 for you. Ask that question and I thought I'd be helpful
00:00:45.500 --> 00:00:48.300
 to go back to your letter the reference the letter the 28th of
00:00:48.300 --> 00:00:51.100
 April 2022 to clarify that
00:00:51.100 --> 00:00:54.800
 question. You posed to me that's helpful. So we
10
00:00:54.800 --> 00:00:58.400
 looked at the letter of the 28th of April and the
11
00:00:57.400 --> 00:01:00.500
 table we refer to Simply describes the
12
00:01:00.500 --> 00:01:02.300
 proposed changes doesn't list the documents.
```

```
13
00:01:03.300 --> 00:01:06.100
It's a description approach changes. I can confirm that
14
00:01:06.100 --> 00:01:09.700
that list set out in that it lets of April 22
00:01:09.700 --> 00:01:13.000
 is accurate and reflects the changes at
16
00:01:12.400 --> 00:01:15.900
that time and will be in the pose change application what
17
00:01:15.900 --> 00:01:18.300
that table does not include as you alluded to
18
00:01:18.300 --> 00:01:22.600
would be the over sailing land that's
19
00:01:21.600 --> 00:01:24.500
 only risen as a result of last week. That
20
00:01:24.500 --> 00:01:27.600
would be the only additional change in
00:01:27.600 --> 00:01:28.100
that table.
22
00:01:29.900 --> 00:01:33.200
And as I mentioned earlier, the application will include both
23
00:01:32.200 --> 00:01:35.500
track changes and clean versions of
24
00:01:35.500 --> 00:01:38.200
 the documents that we consider would need to be amended. Should you
accept
25
00:01:38.200 --> 00:01:40.000
the proposed change application?
```

```
00:01:45.900 --> 00:01:47.400
Thank you. Thank you.
27
00:01:51.700 --> 00:01:52.600
So you got there?
28
00:01:53.900 --> 00:01:56.400
microphones on mute
29
00:01:58.900 --> 00:02:03.100
okay, so I want to ask strategy
30
00:02:01.100 --> 00:02:05.600
parties and interested parties whether
31
00:02:04.600 --> 00:02:08.200
they would like to respond to the
32
00:02:07.200 --> 00:02:10.400
 applicants statements and I think
00:02:10.400 --> 00:02:14.800
the easiest thing is to go around the table, I think so we'll
34
00:02:14.800 --> 00:02:15.500
 start with
35
00:02:17.800 --> 00:02:20.400
But I've got Cambridge Cambridge County
36
00:02:20.400 --> 00:02:20.900
Council.
37
00:02:23.300 --> 00:02:26.400
The yes, you will
38
00:02:26.400 --> 00:02:30.100
have the benefit of me. Could you just give you I
39
00:02:29.100 --> 00:02:32.400
 said Mr. Bedford? No, it's
```

```
40
00:02:32.400 --> 00:02:35.600
Mr. Mohamed. Sorry I should have said it's Mr. Mohammed
41
00:02:35.600 --> 00:02:37.700
 online. Yes.
42
00:02:38.600 --> 00:02:42.000
Yes, I'll be after Cambridge account Council and East Cambridge
43
00:02:41.500 --> 00:02:44.000
 District Council. Where are you
44
00:02:44.100 --> 00:02:47.300
 now? You still on the train? No, I actually I actually got off
45
00:02:47.300 --> 00:02:50.300
 stevenage where the train that was supposed to be taking me
46
00:02:50.300 --> 00:02:53.400
to Cambridge has gone back to backwards and
47
00:02:53.400 --> 00:02:56.400
they stopped at stevenage and I've got office stevenage and
48
00:02:56.400 --> 00:02:59.700
 I'm sitting in a brutalist building trying to
49
00:02:59.700 --> 00:03:02.300
find a way way back
50
00:03:02.300 --> 00:03:05.700
but I don't think I'm gonna make it tonight because they're
offering they're
51
00:03:05.700 --> 00:03:08.900
 offering us the bus services to Cambridge.
52
00:03:10.300 --> 00:03:14.600
Right. Okay, so if you're comfortable to so it's
```

```
53
00:03:14.600 --> 00:03:17.200
 comfortable as you can be to proceed. Thank you, Thank you,
54
00:03:17.200 --> 00:03:20.700
sir. I'm next to a theater. So it may be that you might hear some
performances,
55
00:03:20.700 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.400
but but I am comfortable for now. So I'll
56
00:03:25.400 --> 00:03:29.700
 just take the letter
57
00:03:28.700 --> 00:03:32.100
that I've heard everything that Mr.
58
00:03:31.100 --> 00:03:32.600
Griffiths.
59
00:03:33.100 --> 00:03:37.200
said on behalf of the just of
60
00:03:36.200 --> 00:03:39.100
 Sonic are here, but I'm going to guide my
61
00:03:39.100 --> 00:03:41.500
 thoughts based on the letter that you
62
00:03:42.500 --> 00:03:45.700
As the examining Authority released on the 22nd of
63
00:03:45.700 --> 00:03:48.200
 July, which I think is very helpful in terms of
64
00:03:48.200 --> 00:03:51.400
 setting out the dates that have
65
00:03:51.400 --> 00:03:54.400
happened along the way. I'm very mindful of
66
```

```
00:03:54.400 --> 00:03:57.500
 the fact that we're not here to discuss any substance and so
67
00:03:57.500 --> 00:04:00.500
my overarching points are going to go towards
68
00:04:01.200 --> 00:04:02.800
two of two themes
69
00:04:03.500 --> 00:04:06.500
The first actions it picks up something that you said which
70
00:04:06.500 --> 00:04:09.400
was about how much confidence can we have?
71
00:04:10.200 --> 00:04:14.000
In relation to what Sonicare
72
00:04:13.100 --> 00:04:16.300
 is proposing to do in the next couple of
73
00:04:16.300 --> 00:04:16.400
months.
74
00:04:17.800 --> 00:04:18.900
and the second theme
75
00:04:20.300 --> 00:04:23.800
relates to processes that need
76
00:04:23.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.700
to take place within the County Council
77
00:04:26.700 --> 00:04:27.800
 and the District Council
78
00:04:29.700 --> 00:04:32.400
in the day in the dates that are
79
00:04:32.400 --> 00:04:35.800
 to come which will have to be taken into
```

```
80
00:04:35.800 --> 00:04:38.700
 account very carefully in order for both of
81
00:04:38.700 --> 00:04:42.200
 the authorities to be able to make meaningful contributions
82
00:04:41.200 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.600
to this examination.
83
00:04:44.300 --> 00:04:47.500
So Guided by those two
84
00:04:47.500 --> 00:04:51.200
 two themes. So the
85
00:04:50.200 --> 00:04:54.000
first thing I would say is that the council's the
86
00:04:53.200 --> 00:04:56.200
 district and and the county are being
87
00:04:56.200 --> 00:04:56.800
 quite troubled by.
88
00:04:58.100 --> 00:05:01.300
What we've seen so far in terms of how the dates have
89
00:05:01.300 --> 00:05:04.300
been allowed to slip and what we
90
00:05:04.300 --> 00:05:07.700
 consider to be quite basic checks around
91
00:05:07.700 --> 00:05:10.400
notices and the extra land
92
00:05:10.400 --> 00:05:13.200
that's going to be required and for example
```

```
00:05:13.200 --> 00:05:16.700
 things such as putting the right advertisement in
94
00:05:16.700 --> 00:05:18.100
 in the Press.
95
00:05:19.600 --> 00:05:23.200
And so I don't know whether the question is for the applicant
96
00:05:22.200 --> 00:05:26.000
to start thinking about whether at this
97
00:05:25.200 --> 00:05:28.900
 stage. It may be necessary to allocate additional
98
00:05:28.900 --> 00:05:31.400
 resources or to think about how much more
99
00:05:31.400 --> 00:05:34.100
time they're going to need but it seems
00:05:34.100 --> 00:05:35.300
to us that
101
00:05:37.100 --> 00:05:40.300
It is quite ambitious for all of these changes to be
102
00:05:40.300 --> 00:05:43.300
 done and consulted upon and for the dates
103
00:05:43.300 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.300
 that are being currently proposed to be met at this current
104
00:05:46.300 --> 00:05:49.400
 stage. Now Sonica may be
105
00:05:49.400 --> 00:05:52.700
 able to meet those dates, but the only contribution we
106
00:05:52.700 --> 00:05:56.600
 have on is that to date that's next
```

```
107
00:05:56.600 --> 00:05:59.600
 actions and what they have done doesn't feel
108
00:05:59.600 --> 00:06:02.100
 us with much confidence that that's going to be possible.
109
00:06:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.300
Now then thinking about the processes
110
00:06:06.300 --> 00:06:09.600
within the local Authority if I
111
00:06:09.600 --> 00:06:12.500
 just take a structure District Council, for
112
00:06:12.500 --> 00:06:12.700
 example
113
00:06:15.300 --> 00:06:19.100
You could put the lir is an
114
00:06:18.100 --> 00:06:21.900
 action that can be taken by the officers.
115
00:06:23.300 --> 00:06:27.600
To give an impact report to assess
116
00:06:27.600 --> 00:06:30.400
 find them by officers as
117
00:06:30.400 --> 00:06:33.500
 the professional body of the authority in
118
00:06:33.500 --> 00:06:34.900
 responding to these things.
119
00:06:36.400 --> 00:06:40.200
and that obviously takes time
```

```
00:06:39.200 --> 00:06:42.600
 and that will have to take account of
121
00:06:42.600 --> 00:06:45.700
 the next few weeks and months that will
122
00:06:45.700 --> 00:06:48.500
have to take account of what where
123
00:06:48.500 --> 00:06:51.600
we are in terms of resources and the like
124
00:06:52.700 --> 00:06:55.600
Now when it comes to the written rep
125
00:06:55.600 --> 00:06:56.200
 stage.
126
00:06:57.700 --> 00:07:00.700
The district council is taking
127
00:07:00.700 --> 00:07:04.400
 the approach that it requires its members
128
00:07:04.400 --> 00:07:07.600
to effectively ratify what those written
129
00:07:07.600 --> 00:07:09.300
 representations. You look like.
130
00:07:09.900 --> 00:07:13.800
And that means that certain meetings.
131
00:07:14.800 --> 00:07:17.400
Sometimes extraordinary meetings of members
132
00:07:17.400 --> 00:07:17.800
 meeting.
133
00:07:18.800 --> 00:07:21.400
Need to be placed in the diary with all the
```

```
134
00:07:21.400 --> 00:07:23.800
 statutory dates and notifications.
135
00:07:25.100 --> 00:07:29.000
Which also need to align with your own deadlines and
136
00:07:28.400 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.400
 those that are to be met by Seneca?
137
00:07:33.600 --> 00:07:36.800
And what that effectively means is that the local impact
138
00:07:36.800 --> 00:07:39.900
 report can be released mindful of leave and
139
00:07:39.900 --> 00:07:42.500
 other pressures that the local Authority is
00:07:42.500 --> 00:07:42.600
 facing.
141
00:07:43.400 --> 00:07:46.500
But the written representation will require a
142
00:07:46.500 --> 00:07:50.900
 democratic process locally, then effectively
143
00:07:50.900 --> 00:07:53.000
 also endorses the local impact.
144
00:07:54.100 --> 00:07:58.800
Now all that to say that when
145
00:07:57.800 --> 00:08:00.400
 you all three
146
00:08:00.400 --> 00:08:03.500
 of you sit down and start thinking about what the timetable looks
```

```
00:08:03.500 --> 00:08:06.500
 like and whether we can have confidence in what Sonicare is
148
00:08:06.500 --> 00:08:06.800
telling us.
149
00:08:08.200 --> 00:08:11.800
It is absolutely critical that you understand that certainly
150
00:08:11.800 --> 00:08:13.900
 for East Cambridge or District Council.
151
00:08:15.800 --> 00:08:17.500
There are many sort of.
152
00:08:19.400 --> 00:08:22.300
plates that need to be spun at the same time in order for
153
00:08:22.300 --> 00:08:23.400
the deadlines to be met
154
00:08:27.200 --> 00:08:30.200
And so that that covers what I wanted to share with you in terms of
the
155
00:08:30.200 --> 00:08:33.900
the district council as far as the County Council
00:08:33.900 --> 00:08:34.400
 in a way.
157
00:08:36.400 --> 00:08:38.200
Their processes are slightly simpler.
158
00:08:39.200 --> 00:08:41.000
and their processes
159
00:08:41.800 --> 00:08:45.200
and the hopefully a
160
00:08:44.200 --> 00:08:47.300
```

```
slightly easier to manage when it
161
00:08:47.300 --> 00:08:51.200
 comes to the aspects that I've just described that are important
00:08:50.200 --> 00:08:53.600
to the district council, but there
163
00:08:53.600 --> 00:08:56.100
 are still important aspects to
164
00:08:56.100 --> 00:08:59.200
 all of this for the County Council namely that they want
165
00:08:59.200 --> 00:09:00.900
to be as transparent as possible.
166
00:09:02.100 --> 00:09:06.700
They want to make sure that the process is as public as possible.
They
167
00:09:05.700 --> 00:09:09.300
will be exercising delegated powers.
168
00:09:08.300 --> 00:09:11.900
 But at the same time those delegated powers
169
00:09:11.900 --> 00:09:14.100
have to be exercised in a way
170
00:09:14.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.000
 that is proportionate and especially in
171
00:09:17.300 --> 00:09:20.600
 a way that ensures that there is
172
00:09:20.600 --> 00:09:23.700
 sufficient time to make meaningful
173
00:09:23.700 --> 00:09:27.100
 contributions to the forthcoming changes.
```

```
174
00:09:29.200 --> 00:09:32.100
And they will need time to digest that inclination.
175
00:09:32.900 --> 00:09:35.600
And then put together a coherent response
176
00:09:35.600 --> 00:09:36.200
 that helps you.
177
00:09:39.800 --> 00:09:40.200
and so
178
00:09:42.100 --> 00:09:45.300
putting those pieces together. We have seen
179
00:09:45.300 --> 00:09:48.400
the history that you have laid out in your letter
180
00:09:48.400 --> 00:09:51.800
 very helpfully from April to May to
181
00:09:51.800 --> 00:09:54.100
 June to July. We are now
182
00:09:54.100 --> 00:09:58.400
 moving on to August September and potentially even
183
00:09:58.400 --> 00:10:02.000
with the local impact reports not really going
184
00:10:01.200 --> 00:10:03.700
to be completed until October.
185
00:10:04.800 --> 00:10:07.600
You couple that with the question about what confidence we
186
00:10:07.600 --> 00:10:10.300
 can have you then layer on top
```

```
00:10:10.300 --> 00:10:13.600
 of that the local Democratic processes and the
188
00:10:13.600 --> 00:10:17.000
 need for time to make country, you know meaningful contributions.
189
00:10:18.600 --> 00:10:19.700
and it all brings us to
190
00:10:20.800 --> 00:10:24.100
the door the door of saying what what
191
00:10:23.100 --> 00:10:26.400
 really do those dates now look like
192
00:10:27.700 --> 00:10:30.100
and how realistic are the dates that we
193
00:10:30.100 --> 00:10:33.300
 are now going to decide upon in this preliminary meeting going
00:10:33.300 --> 00:10:37.500
to be if the Sonic has suggestions are
195
00:10:37.500 --> 00:10:38.100
to be followed.
196
00:10:39.700 --> 00:10:42.600
A try very hard and panel
197
00:10:42.600 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.400
 to not stay into the substance because I've
00:10:45.400 --> 00:10:48.600
heard everything that Mr. Griffiths talked about in relation to
various
199
00:10:48.600 --> 00:10:51.900
 aspects about the Junctions and the over sailing
200
00:10:51.900 --> 00:10:54.900
```

```
and whether they might be controversial issues, but I
201
00:10:54.900 --> 00:10:57.200
 I finished where I started which is
202
00:10:57.200 --> 00:11:00.300
 about your question about confidence, and I finished with another
question
203
00:11:00.300 --> 00:11:04.100
 you asked about for example, unforeseen issues
204
00:11:03.100 --> 00:11:06.400
or environmental impacts that
205
00:11:06.400 --> 00:11:09.300
we haven't seen or controversial issues that may come
206
00:11:09.300 --> 00:11:13.300
 forward in the future and and that's unless
207
00:11:12.300 --> 00:11:15.500
 you have any particular questions is is the
208
00:11:15.500 --> 00:11:18.700
 brief submissions on what we are thinking at
209
00:11:18.700 --> 00:11:21.800
 this precise Moment In Time on behalf of the East
210
00:11:21.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.700
 Cambridge District Council and Cambridge accounting
211
00:11:25.700 --> 00:11:26.500
 Council. Thank you.
212
00:11:27.500 --> 00:11:30.400
Yes, thank you, Mr. Mohammed. Those submissions are noted.
213
00:11:31.900 --> 00:11:34.700
I'll turn now, I think to Suffolk County
```

```
214
00:11:34.700 --> 00:11:37.400
 Council Mr. Bedford. Are you there?
215
00:11:38.700 --> 00:11:41.800
Thank you, sir. Yes, Michael Bedford Suffolk County Council.
216
00:11:43.100 --> 00:11:46.900
So I think for key points
217
00:11:46.900 --> 00:11:49.900
that we would want to make at this
218
00:11:49.900 --> 00:11:52.800
 stage. The first
219
00:11:52.800 --> 00:11:55.700
 is that we consider that
220
00:11:55.700 --> 00:11:58.400
 it is strongly preferable that the
221
00:11:58.400 --> 00:12:02.200
 change request needs to be submitted
222
00:12:01.200 --> 00:12:05.300
 to the examination and accepted.
223
00:12:04.300 --> 00:12:07.300
 It values. Obviously your
224
00:12:07.300 --> 00:12:11.400
 decision before the examination
225
00:12:10.400 --> 00:12:13.900
 itself star.
226
00:12:15.500 --> 00:12:19.200
And we consider that that
```

```
00:12:18.200 --> 00:12:22.000
 is strongly preferable in.
228
00:12:27.600 --> 00:12:31.000
Ensures that everybody understands. What
229
00:12:30.200 --> 00:12:33.200
 is the application which is being
230
00:12:33.200 --> 00:12:34.000
 examined.
231
00:12:35.600 --> 00:12:39.300
Before any of the deadlines for submissions in
232
00:12:39.300 --> 00:12:42.600
 the examination coming to pass and people
233
00:12:42.600 --> 00:12:45.200
 can therefore focus on the examination.
00:12:46.200 --> 00:12:50.000
As changed in both their
235
00:12:49.700 --> 00:12:52.700
 proprietary work and in the submissions that
236
00:12:52.700 --> 00:12:53.900
 they make to you.
237
00:12:54.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.000
We think that that simple approach best
238
00:12:57.600 --> 00:13:00.700
 reflects. I think the points made by
239
00:13:00.700 --> 00:13:04.000
 the examining Authority in your letter of the 22nd of
240
00:13:03.300 --> 00:13:06.500
 July and obviously
```

```
241
00:13:06.500 --> 00:13:09.300
 there's a number of paragraphs where you have as it were
242
00:13:09.300 --> 00:13:14.100
 referred to your thinking that the change application
243
00:13:12.100 --> 00:13:15.100
 or sorry. The
244
00:13:15.100 --> 00:13:18.300
 change request would be with you by the time of
245
00:13:18.300 --> 00:13:21.500
 the preliminary meeting. It also
246
00:13:21.500 --> 00:13:24.400
 reflects what the applicants preferred position was our
00:13:24.400 --> 00:13:28.100
 set out in their letter of the 19th of April of 2022.
00:13:27.100 --> 00:13:30.200
That's as 17
249
00:13:33.200 --> 00:13:36.800
And we think the day as it
250
00:13:36.800 --> 00:13:39.600
were a suboptimal way would be to start the
251
00:13:39.600 --> 00:13:43.100
 examination but start pushing the deadlines
252
00:13:42.100 --> 00:13:46.500
 and in particular deadline one back to
253
00:13:45.500 --> 00:13:49.200
 allow for the
```

```
00:13:48.200 --> 00:13:50.400
 outstanding matters to happen.
255
00:13:51.300 --> 00:13:53.700
Is really just a recipe for complication.
256
00:13:54.500 --> 00:13:55.400
and confusion
257
00:13:56.700 --> 00:13:59.800
and it also puts the examination as it
258
00:13:59.800 --> 00:14:02.700
were at the risk that if Mr.
259
00:14:02.700 --> 00:14:05.400
 Griffiths confidence turns out to be misplaced.
260
00:14:06.800 --> 00:14:09.500
Once the able to see the examination has started as
261
00:14:09.500 --> 00:14:14.600
 you know, well, sir, you're six month deadline automatically
applies.
262
00:14:15.400 --> 00:14:19.600
And so obviously the flexibility to deal with untoward events
263
00:14:19.600 --> 00:14:21.100
 is very much diminished.
264
00:14:22.200 --> 00:14:25.500
So that sir is our first and overarching point
265
00:14:25.500 --> 00:14:28.500
that we suggest that the change request is
266
00:14:28.500 --> 00:14:31.500
made and accepted before the examination starts.
267
00:14:32.100 --> 00:14:36.500
```

```
Secondly, we would ask you to note obviously in
268
00:14:35.500 --> 00:14:38.200
 terms of the consultation that has been
269
00:14:38.200 --> 00:14:39.800
 undertaken thus far.
270
00:14:40.700 --> 00:14:45.700
On the change request but you rightly
271
00:14:43.700 --> 00:14:46.100
 drew attention
272
00:14:46.100 --> 00:14:50.800
 the fact that you haven't actually seen that consultation
documentation. We
273
00:14:49.800 --> 00:14:51.600
 obviously have
274
00:14:52.400 --> 00:14:55.200
I I don't trouble you with it, but just to note
275
00:14:55.200 --> 00:14:57.100
 it was more in the form of a brochure.
276
00:14:58.100 --> 00:15:02.300
Rather than providing the supporting documentation.
277
00:15:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.300
Which is included in the list of
278
00:15:07.300 --> 00:15:10.400
 material that Mr. Griffith is outlined will be
279
00:15:10.400 --> 00:15:13.300
 submitted to you as part of the formal change request.
280
00:15:15.300 --> 00:15:18.500
now opposite it's the applicant's choice is to how it wanted to
```

```
281
00:15:18.500 --> 00:15:22.400
 carry out that consultation, but it does mean that
282
00:15:21.400 --> 00:15:24.600
 because there was lesser detail
283
00:15:24.600 --> 00:15:28.100
 than there might have been provided obviously
284
00:15:27.100 --> 00:15:30.100
 consultation responses were limited by
285
00:15:31.300 --> 00:15:34.700
What was presented which carries
286
00:15:34.700 --> 00:15:37.600
with it the risk that when we see the full documentation
287
00:15:37.600 --> 00:15:40.400
with the change request. It may
288
00:15:40.400 --> 00:15:43.800
well be that it exposes issues
289
00:15:43.800 --> 00:15:44.900
 that weren't apparent.
290
00:15:46.100 --> 00:15:50.000
From the brochure type consultation that was
00:15:49.500 --> 00:15:51.800
 undertaken in June through July.
292
00:15:54.400 --> 00:15:58.200
Again, that possibly has residency or point about confidence in
293
00:15:57.200 --> 00:16:01.800
 achieving matters. Obviously the
```

```
00:16:01.800 --> 00:16:04.200
 second element to the consultation, which is
295
00:16:04.200 --> 00:16:07.100
 just starting in relation to the
296
00:16:07.100 --> 00:16:08.100
 over sailing.
297
00:16:08.800 --> 00:16:11.500
That is a matter. Which
298
00:16:11.500 --> 00:16:14.700
 to the County Council a Suffolk County Council has
299
00:16:14.700 --> 00:16:17.400
 probably a stronger interest because it
300
00:16:17.400 --> 00:16:20.300
 impacts on mildenhall road for which
301
00:16:20.300 --> 00:16:22.500
 the County Council is the local Highway Authority.
302
00:16:25.500 --> 00:16:25.700
and
303
00:16:27.900 --> 00:16:31.100
we remain to be
304
00:16:30.100 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.800
 persuaded that all issues
305
00:16:33.800 --> 00:16:37.800
 have yet been adequately addressed so
306
00:16:36.800 --> 00:16:40.600
 as to safeguard Highway interests.
307
00:16:41.900 --> 00:16:45.000
And it's not just there for an issue of temporary possession
```

```
308
00:16:44.400 --> 00:16:48.000
 and possible rights issues that
309
00:16:47.500 --> 00:16:51.700
will need to be addressed. So we
310
00:16:50.700 --> 00:16:53.500
have to reserve our position.
311
00:16:54.600 --> 00:16:57.500
On the effects of what is proposed?
312
00:17:00.200 --> 00:17:05.700
And that then brings me to show I
313
00:17:04.700 --> 00:17:07.600
 think I probably straight slightly. My
314
00:17:07.600 --> 00:17:11.000
 second point to my third point of probably that combined because
315
00:17:10.300 --> 00:17:14.200
 the second Point probably putting it clearly is that
316
00:17:13.200 --> 00:17:17.400
 in relation to the existing consultation. We
317
00:17:16.400 --> 00:17:20.300
haven't seen sufficient detail
318
00:17:20.300 --> 00:17:25.200
to enable us to give clear answers as
319
00:17:24.200 --> 00:17:27.200
to all effects that we reserve
320
00:17:27.200 --> 00:17:30.100
 Obsession on that so far as over sailing and this
```

```
00:17:30.100 --> 00:17:33.300
 is the third point I say I make that point the Milton Hall
322
00:17:33.300 --> 00:17:37.100
 Road issue is a matter where we do need to see full documentation
323
00:17:36.100 --> 00:17:39.700
to understand what extent
324
00:17:39.700 --> 00:17:42.400
 there are consequences for the
325
00:17:42.400 --> 00:17:42.600
highway.
326
00:17:43.700 --> 00:17:46.200
And then fourthly and it's probably
327
00:17:46.200 --> 00:17:49.400
 a summation of those preceding points. We're simply
328
00:17:49.400 --> 00:17:52.300
 not in a position to express a view
329
00:17:52.300 --> 00:17:55.800
 on the degree of confidence that the applicant has
330
00:17:55.800 --> 00:17:59.600
 in whether it can meet it timetable of
331
00:17:58.600 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.400
 end of August for the
332
00:18:01.400 --> 00:18:04.800
 change request or not because
333
00:18:04.800 --> 00:18:08.000
we simply haven't seen sufficient to enable
334
00:18:07.200 --> 00:18:10.000
 us to former and informed view on that.
```

```
335
00:18:11.300 --> 00:18:14.500
Such that those are our 4 Points.
336
00:18:14.500 --> 00:18:17.800
 I should say perhaps just by way of completeness that the
337
00:18:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.400
 County Council Cambridge. Sorry the Suffolk County
338
00:18:20.400 --> 00:18:23.900
 Council does have delegated Arrangements in
339
00:18:23.900 --> 00:18:24.400
 place.
340
00:18:25.300 --> 00:18:29.500
So the process issues that Mr. Mohammed
341
00:18:28.500 --> 00:18:31.700
 referred to our probably more
342
00:18:31.700 --> 00:18:34.400
 manageable for Suffolk County
343
00:18:34.400 --> 00:18:37.300
 Council, but I save
344
00:18:37.300 --> 00:18:41.000
the content of representations. We return
345
00:18:40.300 --> 00:18:43.000
to the point. We all ought
346
00:18:43.100 --> 00:18:46.700
to be clear what the application is before we have to address it,
and
347
00:18:46.700 --> 00:18:49.300
we don't want to engage in abortive work. Thank you,
```

```
348
00:18:49.300 --> 00:18:49.600
349
00:18:50.700 --> 00:18:53.600
Thank you very much, Mr. Bedford. Those submissions are noted.
350
00:18:53.600 --> 00:18:56.800
I'll go to West Suffolk
351
00:18:56.800 --> 00:18:59.100
 Council. Now if you wish to
352
00:18:59.100 --> 00:19:02.600
 say anything on yes, yes, please. Thank you, sir. Julie
353
00:19:02.600 --> 00:19:06.400
 Barry for West Suffolk Council and just
354
00:19:05.400 --> 00:19:08.200
 like it in general to concur with the
355
00:19:08.200 --> 00:19:12.000
 points that have been made on behalf of the the other local
authorities
356
00:19:11.800 --> 00:19:14.600
 in respect of West suffer Council. And
357
00:19:14.600 --> 00:19:17.500
we do believe there are resource implications for us
358
00:19:17.500 --> 00:19:20.700
 in terms of dealing with an additional
359
00:19:20.700 --> 00:19:23.200
 consultation. Which whilst Suffolk County
360
00:19:23.200 --> 00:19:27.000
 Council are the highway Authority. The overseeing issue
361
```

```
00:19:26.100 --> 00:19:29.600
 is within the District of West Suffolk. So no doubt we
362
00:19:29.600 --> 00:19:32.600
 and our members want to have time to review
363
00:19:32.600 --> 00:19:35.600
 that information and we do feel that we we need
364
00:19:35.600 --> 00:19:38.600
to see that detail together with the full change
365
00:19:38.600 --> 00:19:41.900
 request before we could fully put
366
00:19:41.900 --> 00:19:44.800
 together a local impact report and and take
367
00:19:44.800 --> 00:19:47.800
 them out of forward. We do have delegated authority
00:19:47.800 --> 00:19:49.900
 to issue decisions.
369
00:19:51.100 --> 00:19:54.400
But they are still subjects to democratic processes that
370
00:19:54.400 --> 00:19:58.000
we need to go through and we do need to allow time in
371
00:19:57.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.100
 the the timetable for us to be
372
00:20:00.100 --> 00:20:03.600
 able to do that. So that as I say there are also resourcing and
373
00:20:03.600 --> 00:20:06.400
 timing implications for us but
374
00:20:06.400 --> 00:20:09.100
 in general so we would concur with
```

```
375
00:20:09.100 --> 00:20:11.300
 the opinions of the other influence.
376
00:20:12.400 --> 00:20:12.500
Thank you.
377
00:20:20.700 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.000
So I've got the views of the local authorities.
378
00:20:25.600 --> 00:20:27.400
Parish councils
379
00:20:28.500 --> 00:20:31.600
to somebody from Parish Council which to
380
00:20:33.500 --> 00:20:35.600
respond at this at this time.
381
00:20:37.100 --> 00:20:38.100
If not
382
00:20:38.900 --> 00:20:42.500
Yes. Yes, Madam. Yeah, I'm Fiona
383
00:20:41.500 --> 00:20:44.200
Maxwell. I'm chipping and Parish
384
00:20:44.200 --> 00:20:47.400
Council. I'm charged chip in Paris Council and also chair
385
00:20:47.400 --> 00:20:50.700
 of the parish council's Alliance which represents the interests of
386
00:20:50.700 --> 00:20:53.600
 14 parish and town councils with
387
00:20:53.600 --> 00:20:55.200
 regard to the Sanchez scheme.
```

```
00:20:55.900 --> 00:20:58.900
I'm concerned by the time table currently proposed.
389
00:20:59.500 --> 00:21:02.500
As it stands interested parties have been given one month
390
00:21:02.500 --> 00:21:05.400
August to prepare detailed written responses
391
00:21:05.400 --> 00:21:08.500
 and that simply not adequate for us to achieve.
392
00:21:08.500 --> 00:21:11.500
There are many aspects to this complex scheme and
393
00:21:11.500 --> 00:21:12.700
 most of us are not experts.
394
00:21:13.700 --> 00:21:16.200
There are too many things to do at once in a short
00:21:16.200 --> 00:21:20.200
 time frame. August is the peak holiday period schools are
396
00:21:19.200 --> 00:21:22.200
 closed and children are at home. Most of us have
397
00:21:22.200 --> 00:21:25.700
holiday plans. It seems a little cynical to arrange
398
00:21:25.700 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.300
 for the most crucial time for interested parties to form
399
00:21:28.300 --> 00:21:30.300
 a response when so many will be unavailable.
400
00:21:31.500 --> 00:21:35.200
Further Parish councils do not meet in August again, as
401
00:21:34.200 --> 00:21:37.300
many are away a parish
```

```
402
00:21:37.300 --> 00:21:40.200
 or Town Council representation must be agreed by
403
00:21:40.200 --> 00:21:43.500
 the council and this will not be possible that Chippenham Parish
404
00:21:43.500 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.300
 Council. We compared our Diaries and found that it was not possible
to
405
00:21:46.300 --> 00:21:48.700
 convene a meeting and be core it
406
00:21:50.500 --> 00:21:54.600
though through the alliance. I know this to be true of many other
councils. I'm
407
00:21:53.600 --> 00:21:56.100
 also concerned that we've been asked to
00:21:56.100 --> 00:21:57.700
write written representations.
409
00:21:59.400 --> 00:22:02.600
On an application, which is not yet been submitted due to
410
00:22:02.600 --> 00:22:05.400
 the substance of change requested by the applicant.
411
00:22:06.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.700
The time if the timetable is modified as the applicant
412
00:22:09.700 --> 00:22:12.400
has suggested this pushes their deadline
413
00:22:12.400 --> 00:22:15.600
 back to the end of August to further five weeks and a deadline
414
00:22:15.600 --> 00:22:18.200
 for written representations back to the 20th of September
```

```
415
00:22:18.200 --> 00:22:21.200
 just under three weeks. So they would like to give
416
00:22:21.200 --> 00:22:24.700
 themselves more time whilst reducing the time that interested
417
00:22:24.700 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.700
 parties have to respond. This Arrangement
418
00:22:27.700 --> 00:22:30.600
 is simply unfair to the community. The timetable
419
00:22:30.600 --> 00:22:33.500
 needs to be adjusted if necessary to take into account the
420
00:22:33.500 --> 00:22:36.600
 changes but importantly to be first the community's
421
00:22:36.600 --> 00:22:40.100
 impacted and I'd like to say that we support
422
00:22:39.100 --> 00:22:42.800
 the county councils and District council's
423
00:22:42.800 --> 00:22:45.900
 position that the change request made and
424
00:22:45.900 --> 00:22:48.300
accepted before the consultation starts.
425
00:22:49.400 --> 00:22:52.500
Thank you, sir. Thank you very much, Maxwell.
426
00:22:53.700 --> 00:22:53.900
and
427
00:22:56.300 --> 00:22:59.700
any comments from other Parish Council and Paula
428
```

```
00:22:59.700 --> 00:23:03.100
McKenzie Wellington Parish Council. Yes McKenzie. I'm
429
00:23:02.100 --> 00:23:05.700
 sorry which which Wellington Wellington.
430
00:23:06.900 --> 00:23:09.200
I would like to just say that for the
431
00:23:09.200 --> 00:23:12.100
 record. I do agree with everything Fiona has just said we are
432
00:23:12.100 --> 00:23:13.800
part of the alliance as well.
433
00:23:15.300 --> 00:23:18.300
And we also support the action group and all
434
00:23:18.300 --> 00:23:19.700
the other County councils.
435
00:23:21.200 --> 00:23:25.000
As for the timeframe we are not due
436
00:23:24.200 --> 00:23:28.400
to have our next Parish meeting until September and
437
00:23:27.400 --> 00:23:30.800
 if we were to discuss what we
438
00:23:30.800 --> 00:23:33.600
were going to be putting into our written representation.
439
00:23:34.600 --> 00:23:37.500
This would then need to be agreed and this could
440
00:23:37.500 --> 00:23:40.400
 take another month after that before we agreed it,
441
00:23:40.400 --> 00:23:42.900
which will mostly be done via email.
```

```
442
00:23:44.900 --> 00:23:47.400
We're not professionals at this. I'm learning
443
00:23:47.400 --> 00:23:50.200
 as I'm going along and we are trying our best
444
00:23:50.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.000
we need the time.
445
00:23:52.600 --> 00:23:55.300
And we need the data as well. We need all
446
00:23:55.300 --> 00:23:58.900
 our information to report on thank you. Thank you
447
00:23:58.900 --> 00:23:59.100
 very much.
448
00:24:01.700 --> 00:24:01.900
right
449
00:24:04.200 --> 00:24:07.100
going along the table then. Let me see.
450
00:24:12.500 --> 00:24:15.200
Red Lodge that Mr. Swords
451
00:24:16.700 --> 00:24:21.300
Yeah, yes. I really
452
00:24:21.300 --> 00:24:24.600
 can't add much more than there's been said by my colleagues
453
00:24:24.600 --> 00:24:28.200
 either side. We have a similar scenario with our
454
00:24:27.200 --> 00:24:31.400
 actual Parish meetings this evening long day
```

```
00:24:31.400 --> 00:24:34.400
 and follow up meeting
456
00:24:34.400 --> 00:24:38.900
will be in the September. We can create
457
00:24:37.900 --> 00:24:40.700
a quorum decorate in
458
00:24:40.700 --> 00:24:44.000
 early September having looked at holidays, and
459
00:24:43.700 --> 00:24:46.400
we've certainly this evening going to start the process
460
00:24:46.400 --> 00:24:49.800
 of a written representation but to
461
00:24:49.800 --> 00:24:52.700
 finalize that and get it authorized signed
462
00:24:52.700 --> 00:24:56.100
 up by the whole Irish Community will
463
00:24:55.100 --> 00:24:58.700
be at least second week
464
00:24:58.700 --> 00:24:59.000
 of September.
465
00:24:59.900 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.200
So really just to confirm what other members
00:25:02.200 --> 00:25:02.600
 of said.
467
00:25:05.100 --> 00:25:05.300
Thank you.
468
00:25:07.300 --> 00:25:10.400
Right any of the parish councils wish to
```

```
469
00:25:10.400 --> 00:25:10.500
 comment?
470
00:25:12.500 --> 00:25:13.900
as somebody at the back
471
00:25:17.300 --> 00:25:17.500
some
472
00:25:18.700 --> 00:25:20.000
Mr. Radcliffe, isn't it?
473
00:25:21.700 --> 00:25:25.700
Richard Wright, if Iceland Parish Council my
474
00:25:24.700 --> 00:25:28.300
 counselors already expended colossal
475
00:25:27.300 --> 00:25:30.800
 resources on this ever-changing scheme
476
00:25:30.800 --> 00:25:33.100
 and one proposals over the last three years.
477
00:25:33.900 --> 00:25:37.000
We want to do one rapid one written
478
00:25:36.500 --> 00:25:39.800
 representation when the final documentation is
479
00:25:39.800 --> 00:25:42.300
 available and requests that you
480
00:25:42.300 --> 00:25:45.800
 is examiners set the deadline to written responses when
481
00:25:45.800 --> 00:25:47.000
 that is actually received.
```

```
00:25:47.900 --> 00:25:49.900
Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Franklin.
483
00:25:56.200 --> 00:25:58.700
Right. Okay, so
484
00:26:00.700 --> 00:26:02.200
Mr. Steele
485
00:26:02.900 --> 00:26:06.000
Yes, thank you,
486
00:26:06.300 --> 00:26:09.100
 sir. Yes. Well much has been said and I don't
487
00:26:09.100 --> 00:26:10.800
want to repeat everything it has been said.
488
00:26:11.900 --> 00:26:14.300
And Mr. Mohammed has made to
489
00:26:14.300 --> 00:26:17.000
 the position Fair as he was concerned of path of
490
00:26:17.100 --> 00:26:20.500
 his Council Mr. Bedford on behalf of his and also
491
00:26:20.500 --> 00:26:23.300
 others on behalf of their local authorities. We
492
00:26:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.600
 are totally in accordance with the points being
493
00:26:26.600 --> 00:26:29.700
 made by them that things are getting out of
494
00:26:29.700 --> 00:26:30.000
 hand.
495
00:26:31.700 --> 00:26:35.000
So you have an invidious position with your fellow members
```

```
496
00:26:34.200 --> 00:26:37.600
 of the of the panel or the examining authority
497
00:26:37.600 --> 00:26:40.400
 to be fair throughout?
498
00:26:41.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.400
As well as trying to ensure that
499
00:26:44.400 --> 00:26:47.000
there is given to the applicant.
500
00:26:47.900 --> 00:26:51.000
Sufficient leeway says
501
00:26:50.100 --> 00:26:54.900
to promote their application fairly
502
00:26:53.900 --> 00:26:56.500
 in accordance with government policy and
503
00:26:56.500 --> 00:26:57.000
the ACT.
504
00:26:58.200 --> 00:27:01.800
The act the 2008 Act is there to try
505
00:27:01.800 --> 00:27:03.000
to speed up the planning process.
506
00:27:04.900 --> 00:27:06.300
And is effectively a privilege.
507
00:27:08.100 --> 00:27:09.600
to applicants
508
00:27:10.400 --> 00:27:14.000
to adopt a different process to the normal process of
509
```

```
00:27:13.600 --> 00:27:16.700
 planning application, which we're all familiar with in the
510
00:27:16.700 --> 00:27:17.100
past.
511
00:27:18.300 --> 00:27:18.700
512
00:27:19.800 --> 00:27:23.100
the applicant in this case is taking
513
00:27:22.100 --> 00:27:25.600
things to what might
514
00:27:25.600 --> 00:27:27.700
be described as the extreme of fairness.
515
00:27:29.200 --> 00:27:32.600
That extreme of fairness is voicing upon
516
00:27:32.600 --> 00:27:35.600
 a very large community strung over
517
00:27:35.600 --> 00:27:37.700
 some 13.5 miles. I understand.
518
00:27:39.500 --> 00:27:42.100
And it said to be foresight is actually
519
00:27:42.100 --> 00:27:44.300
 between 7 and 10 if you subdivide them.
520
00:27:45.700 --> 00:27:48.400
And the various Villages that have
521
00:27:48.400 --> 00:27:51.400
 remained very much the same four hundreds of years and this
522
00:27:51.400 --> 00:27:52.600
 is being imposed upon them.
```

```
523
00:27:54.400 --> 00:27:55.300
there's about
524
00:27:56.200 --> 00:27:59.100
I say this in pejorative terms, perhaps a handful of
525
00:27:59.100 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.000
 people in favor of this other than those who have interests in
526
00:28:02.100 --> 00:28:04.400
the actual development itself.
527
00:28:06.900 --> 00:28:09.500
Therefore that fairness when I say is
528
00:28:09.500 --> 00:28:11.000
 going to that extreme.
529
00:28:12.600 --> 00:28:15.600
Is something which is now being dealt with today in terms
530
00:28:15.600 --> 00:28:18.100
 of procedural fairness, I will obviously have much to say
531
00:28:18.100 --> 00:28:20.500
 about the rest of it in due course now, I'm not going to go into
that now.
532
00:28:22.300 --> 00:28:25.200
The group which I represent in two groups. I represent the
533
00:28:25.200 --> 00:28:26.400
 new market Horseman's group.
534
00:28:27.400 --> 00:28:27.700
is
535
00:28:28.600 --> 00:28:31.500
first of all, perhaps the largest employer in the area in
```

```
536
00:28:31.500 --> 00:28:34.200
 terms of the totality of what goes on in New Market.
537
00:28:34.200 --> 00:28:37.800
 It's well known name New Market in racing circles
538
00:28:37.800 --> 00:28:38.400
 one might know.
539
00:28:39.600 --> 00:28:42.300
and the say no to Sonica group
540
00:28:42.300 --> 00:28:44.200
 is an umbrella organization including
541
00:28:45.300 --> 00:28:48.400
many of those who in Parish councils and in fact all the parish
542
00:28:48.400 --> 00:28:49.100
 councils and others
543
00:28:50.600 --> 00:28:50.900
and
544
00:28:52.400 --> 00:28:55.200
what is becoming apparent is at the edges are
545
00:28:55.200 --> 00:28:59.400
 being pushed here where not only a costs increasing
546
00:28:58.400 --> 00:29:01.100
 but there are real difficulties.
547
00:29:02.100 --> 00:29:06.100
Which are being foisted upon the local population because they
548
00:29:05.100 --> 00:29:07.400
have to use their holidays.
549
00:29:09.200 --> 00:29:12.400
```

```
And also inadequate meetings which
550
00:29:12.400 --> 00:29:13.600
 they would otherwise be able to have.
00:29:14.500 --> 00:29:17.700
To apply with procedural requirements which user
552
00:29:17.700 --> 00:29:20.400
 have to impose a fairness to both sides and
553
00:29:20.400 --> 00:29:21.500
 we understand that.
554
00:29:23.400 --> 00:29:26.400
I say you so if I may speak to you, obviously, it's it's the
555
00:29:26.400 --> 00:29:27.500
 examining authorities at home.
556
00:29:29.600 --> 00:29:33.000
There needs to be procedural Clarity not procedural confusion.
557
00:29:36.300 --> 00:29:38.400
now if we go back to April, March April
00:29:39.400 --> 00:29:42.200
The first indication this year that things were starting to go
wrong.
559
00:29:44.200 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.900
I start off in this sort of situation by asking
560
00:29:47.900 --> 00:29:50.600
 a rhetorical question. Is there any onus whatsoever upon
561
00:29:50.600 --> 00:29:51.500
 an applicant?
562
00:29:52.700 --> 00:29:55.300
Who is going to use this procedure under the
```

```
563
00:29:55.300 --> 00:29:58.200
 2008 act to get their tackling order before they start?
564
00:29:59.400 --> 00:30:02.000
I ask that rhetorically because the answer is clearly. Yes.
565
00:30:02.700 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.500
Did they do say the answer is clearly? No.
566
00:30:06.300 --> 00:30:07.000
They had various options.
567
00:30:08.300 --> 00:30:11.500
Now they want another option is that option likely to result in?
568
00:30:12.800 --> 00:30:15.400
Clear agreement by a certain date they say yes,
569
00:30:15.400 --> 00:30:16.400
 they said yes before.
570
00:30:17.800 --> 00:30:20.900
They now want over sailing of a Thousand tonne Crane.
571
00:30:20.900 --> 00:30:23.300
 Is it the only implication they said there's no material
572
00:30:23.300 --> 00:30:23.600
 change.
573
00:30:24.900 --> 00:30:27.100
In relation to what is going to be
574
00:30:27.100 --> 00:30:28.500
 put forward?
575
00:30:29.800 --> 00:30:32.400
You'll note and I'm
576
```

```
00:30:32.400 --> 00:30:35.200
 not getting into the details of this now there wasn't
577
00:30:35.200 --> 00:30:36.600
 a straight answer to the question you.
578
00:30:37.700 --> 00:30:41.000
Were asking no doubt
579
00:30:40.100 --> 00:30:43.500
 it was Ms. Taylor as well who from her
580
00:30:43.500 --> 00:30:46.500
 point of view was asking that is there any change in what is
581
00:30:46.500 --> 00:30:49.800
 being proposed? No, it's not is within the parameters when it
582
00:30:49.800 --> 00:30:52.400
 is a thousand tongue crane, which is coming in for the same bit of
583
00:30:52.400 --> 00:30:56.000
 Kit. Then it's rather odd that they didn't decide that was going to
be before it's
584
00:30:55.100 --> 00:30:56.700
 not the same kid.
00:30:57.400 --> 00:31:00.400
It's different parameters might be different. So there's situation
is different
586
00:31:00.400 --> 00:31:03.600
 in terms of what we have to do and what we have to advise to
587
00:31:03.600 --> 00:31:03.900
be done.
588
00:31:04.600 --> 00:31:07.900
In terms of the assessments of
589
```

```
00:31:07.900 --> 00:31:08.200
 this.
590
00:31:09.700 --> 00:31:11.800
Invisible terms landscape and so on.
591
00:31:12.700 --> 00:31:15.300
How's it going to look? What's it going to go? What is there?
592
00:31:15.300 --> 00:31:16.200
We don't know yet.
593
00:31:16.900 --> 00:31:19.900
So we have an uncertainty situation
594
00:31:19.900 --> 00:31:22.900
 a confusing situation the potentially
595
00:31:22.900 --> 00:31:25.100
 unfair situation costs being
00:31:25.100 --> 00:31:28.200
 expended this being going on and the highest
597
00:31:28.200 --> 00:31:32.300
 it can be put is a high degree of confidence. It
598
00:31:31.300 --> 00:31:34.500
will be submitted by the end of August.
599
00:31:35.500 --> 00:31:38.200
Now, of course, I don't blame Mr. Griffiths because
600
00:31:38.200 --> 00:31:41.800
 he is not the applicant. He is representing them.
601
00:31:42.700 --> 00:31:45.500
But when it comes to a high degree of
602
00:31:45.500 --> 00:31:48.300
 confidence if this was a planning inquiry you say right by
```

```
603
00:31:48.300 --> 00:31:49.500
that date or you're out.
604
00:31:51.600 --> 00:31:54.300
And the situation has now become clear as far as
605
00:31:54.300 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.600
we're concerned. I mean you'll be a little bit stronger perhaps in
the authorities in
606
00:31:57.600 --> 00:31:59.900
 saying this, but that should be the position.
607
00:32:01.300 --> 00:32:04.000
It's 30th of August and then we discover there's an extra day by the
608
00:32:04.700 --> 00:32:07.500
31st. It was 30th. This morning is now the 31st.
609
00:32:08.600 --> 00:32:11.400
So be it an extra day might say how long
610
00:32:11.400 --> 00:32:12.000
 is it going to drift?
00:32:13.200 --> 00:32:17.800
That there needs to be Clarity certainty certainty.
612
00:32:18.800 --> 00:32:20.100
And no more confusion.
613
00:32:21.400 --> 00:32:25.200
And we have confusion inevitably potentially existing
614
00:32:24.200 --> 00:32:25.600
 already.
615
00:32:26.600 --> 00:32:29.300
because there's overlapping in relation to
```

```
616
00:32:29.300 --> 00:32:32.900
 the timetable being put forward at the moment of consultation on
617
00:32:32.900 --> 00:32:35.800
 this consultation on that taking place overlapping
618
00:32:35.800 --> 00:32:38.700
with writing reports on something else which
619
00:32:38.700 --> 00:32:41.100
 might have to be caveat in relation to what might be
620
00:32:41.100 --> 00:32:44.500
 decided in you cause or might not be and then
621
00:32:44.500 --> 00:32:47.200
 also consultation in due courses to
622
00:32:47.200 --> 00:32:50.700
 whether it's going to be material or not taking into account by the
examining Authority
623
00:32:50.700 --> 00:32:54.000
 as to whether a decision is made about that consequent upon
624
00:32:53.200 --> 00:32:56.400
 and subsequent to the decisions being made
625
00:32:56.400 --> 00:32:59.300
which are about the application which is going before and
626
00:32:59.300 --> 00:33:01.900
 and not the same as that being sought
627
00:33:04.700 --> 00:33:07.300
Not you think you lived in Humpty Dumpty land actually if
628
00:33:07.300 --> 00:33:08.400
 you put that all forward first.
629
```

```
00:33:10.100 --> 00:33:12.700
The situation is getting worse and worse.
630
00:33:14.800 --> 00:33:17.800
And of course you have to decide what
631
00:33:17.800 --> 00:33:18.200
 to do.
632
00:33:19.600 --> 00:33:22.300
But it is clear that if the 30th of
633
00:33:22.300 --> 00:33:24.100
August is not an absolute date.
634
00:33:25.600 --> 00:33:25.900
and
635
00:33:27.100 --> 00:33:30.300
it may be you are in legal difficulties of saying it's an absolute
date
636
00:33:30.300 --> 00:33:33.800
 and I don't really voice this upon you to say You must make that
conclusion because
637
00:33:33.800 --> 00:33:36.400
 I don't want to cause a legal
638
00:33:36.400 --> 00:33:36.900
 difficulty.
639
00:33:37.900 --> 00:33:40.400
It must be the case that everything has
640
00:33:40.400 --> 00:33:43.700
 to be determined before we start the examination.
641
00:33:44.800 --> 00:33:45.900
What are we examining?
642
```

```
00:33:47.700 --> 00:33:50.100
Isn't it's rather odd question to ask when
643
00:33:50.100 --> 00:33:53.100
 you read all the various advice notes. I never known so many advice
and they
644
00:33:53.100 --> 00:33:56.500
tried again cut everything down when they produce nppf and
645
00:33:56.500 --> 00:33:58.300
 now the number of advice notes about this is
646
00:33:59.500 --> 00:34:00.000
trebled
647
00:34:01.200 --> 00:34:04.500
and where have we got to we got to a situation? We don't know where
648
00:34:04.500 --> 00:34:04.600
 stand.
649
00:34:05.900 --> 00:34:08.300
You generally stand and dairy seems to Grievous
650
00:34:08.300 --> 00:34:11.200
 doesn't know where he stands. It's rather odd situation.
651
00:34:11.200 --> 00:34:11.800
We're in.
652
00:34:12.400 --> 00:34:15.500
July mid-july past the date. If one
653
00:34:15.500 --> 00:34:18.400
 recalls when it was going to be made clear that this
654
00:34:18.400 --> 00:34:21.100
was all going to be before us before the Pim. We
655
```

```
00:34:21.100 --> 00:34:21.800
 gone past that now
656
00:34:22.800 --> 00:34:23.700
and it's not before us.
657
00:34:25.200 --> 00:34:27.900
So where are we we're in a situation of flux.
658
00:34:29.400 --> 00:34:34.000
Not certainty and clear potential substantial
00:34:32.000 --> 00:34:34.800
 confusion.
660
00:34:36.400 --> 00:34:39.200
The Only Way Forward is to start this
661
00:34:39.200 --> 00:34:42.400
 examination if it starts and it's not withdrawn and
662
00:34:42.400 --> 00:34:45.400
 I invite obviously the whole time then to think
663
00:34:45.400 --> 00:34:46.500
 again start again.
664
00:34:48.200 --> 00:34:51.600
But I also asked that be the decision and that
665
00:34:51.600 --> 00:34:53.300
 seems to chime also with the authorities.
666
00:34:54.100 --> 00:34:55.600
And may I also say sir?
667
00:34:56.400 --> 00:34:59.600
That this will not be the first time during the whole of this
examination.
668
00:34:59.600 --> 00:35:02.800
```

```
I will say they haven't got their tackling order and there's
inadequate
669
00:35:02.800 --> 00:35:05.100
 information before you I just make
670
00:35:05.100 --> 00:35:08.800
that point so that is quite clearly stated now today.
671
00:35:11.200 --> 00:35:11.500
Thank you.
672
00:35:13.200 --> 00:35:13.900
Thank you, Mr. Steel.
673
00:35:21.500 --> 00:35:21.800
Thank you.
674
00:35:23.100 --> 00:35:27.200
So I think we need
675
00:35:26.200 --> 00:35:30.000
 them to go to those your
676
00:35:29.600 --> 00:35:32.500
 representations on behalf of the say notice Seneca.
677
00:35:32.500 --> 00:35:34.000
And the Horseman's group. Are they not?
678
00:35:34.500 --> 00:35:35.800
Okay, so
679
00:35:39.400 --> 00:35:41.900
Right just anybody else have any comments. They want to
680
00:35:43.500 --> 00:35:44.100
to make
681
00:35:46.100 --> 00:35:47.200
just check online.
```

```
682
00:35:57.900 --> 00:36:00.200
Right, and I think at this
683
00:36:00.200 --> 00:36:02.200
 stage what we will do.
684
00:36:04.900 --> 00:36:05.100
is
685
00:36:08.100 --> 00:36:11.200
It's 12:46. I think we'll take a
686
00:36:11.200 --> 00:36:14.400
 break for lunch here. So can I just come back
687
00:36:14.400 --> 00:36:17.700
 on a couple of minutes, please in this one beg your
688
00:36:17.700 --> 00:36:20.700
 pardon Mr. Griffith. So I was going to intending to do that and
that's
689
00:36:20.700 --> 00:36:24.600
 that's a mess of me not to do. That's thank you.
690
00:36:24.600 --> 00:36:29.100
Yes, you might have been starting to stray into examination and
691
00:36:27.100 --> 00:36:30.300
we have it for
692
00:36:30.300 --> 00:36:33.000
 scone into a few other items on the agenda regarding the
693
00:36:33.600 --> 00:36:36.400
 timetable because we know written representation is
694
00:36:36.400 --> 00:36:39.700
 a difference to the changes application. So our
```

```
695
00:36:39.700 --> 00:36:42.200
 two different points and I just want to say a few
696
00:36:42.200 --> 00:36:45.400
words and then no doubt the coming forward to
697
00:36:45.400 --> 00:36:48.000
 make it it's decision.
698
00:36:48.700 --> 00:36:51.400
But in accordance with
699
00:36:51.400 --> 00:36:54.700
 the vice-nosis advice note 16, the applicant
700
00:36:54.700 --> 00:36:57.400
 did alert split the summing Authority as early
701
00:36:57.400 --> 00:37:00.300
 as it knew about the post change and we informed
702
00:37:00.300 --> 00:37:02.400
you that a proposed change was happening.
703
00:37:03.200 --> 00:37:06.500
As we set out in our timelines, there's been a lot said that we
haven't kept
704
00:37:06.500 --> 00:37:09.400
 our deadlines. We have kept our deadlines in respect of the
Constitution
705
00:37:09.400 --> 00:37:12.300
 that we said we would take out between the carry out.
706
00:37:12.300 --> 00:37:15.400
 Sorry between the sixth of June and the sixth of
707
00:37:15.400 --> 00:37:18.400
 July that has happened and that has happened and
```

```
708
00:37:18.400 --> 00:37:21.700
we've looked at the responses and the assessment environmental
709
00:37:21.700 --> 00:37:24.300
 assessment has been carried out and I have the document here on the
710
00:37:24.300 --> 00:37:26.700
 desk during that process.
711
00:37:27.700 --> 00:37:31.900
The technical assessment of the ails the abdominal invisible
712
00:37:30.900 --> 00:37:33.500
 loads show that
713
00:37:33.500 --> 00:37:36.500
 in one location just one
714
00:37:36.500 --> 00:37:37.000
 location.
715
00:37:37.900 --> 00:37:41.300
Whilst the wheels of the vehicle would remain
716
00:37:40.300 --> 00:37:43.400
 within the highway the bed the vehicle had
717
00:37:43.400 --> 00:37:45.200
 the potential to oversell that land.
718
00:37:45.900 --> 00:37:47.800
We could have submitted the application to you.
719
00:37:49.400 --> 00:37:50.400
We could have submitted it.
720
00:37:51.500 --> 00:37:52.400
and this examination
721
00:37:54.100 --> 00:37:58.000
```

```
starting
722
00:37:57.300 --> 00:38:00.200
 in accordance with your original letter.
723
00:38:01.100 --> 00:38:03.800
And it may still do that. But we took the decision.
724
00:38:04.400 --> 00:38:07.500
To submit a cut one single change application
725
00:38:07.500 --> 00:38:11.200
that covered all the changes and results of installing a
726
00:38:10.200 --> 00:38:13.600
 300 a 400 KV
727
00:38:13.600 --> 00:38:15.100
 cable rather than 132.
728
00:38:16.900 --> 00:38:19.200
Rather than submitting another change during the examination.
729
00:38:20.700 --> 00:38:24.200
That has and it is regrettable resulting in
730
00:38:24.200 --> 00:38:27.500
 additional consultation with the highway authorities with the Pash
731
00:38:27.500 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.100
 councils and with the affected person
732
00:38:30.100 --> 00:38:32.700
 of that area sailing.
733
00:38:33.700 --> 00:38:36.200
As I mentioned that is being carried out with letters
734
00:38:36.200 --> 00:38:39.500
have been sent and the period the window is a 27th of July through
```

we wouldn't be having the debate the examination with no doubt the

```
to
735
00:38:39.500 --> 00:38:42.500
 the 24th of August you have certainty on
00:38:42.500 --> 00:38:42.700
 that.
737
00:38:45.800 --> 00:38:48.400
We will then be seeking to engage with the relevant
738
00:38:48.400 --> 00:38:51.500
 parties during that period so we can receive their comments during
739
00:38:51.500 --> 00:38:54.300
 that period and not only last day of the 24th of
740
00:38:54.300 --> 00:38:54.400
August.
741
00:38:55.800 --> 00:38:58.700
We will have regard those comments and once
742
00:38:58.700 --> 00:39:01.300
 you've had regard and written them up, we will submit the changes
00:39:01.300 --> 00:39:01.800
 application.
744
00:39:04.200 --> 00:39:07.100
That is Our intention of 30th August.
745
00:39:07.100 --> 00:39:11.100
We mentioned the 30th of August simply because that was your
original deadline too. I
746
00:39:10.100 --> 00:39:13.500
 mentioned 31st earlier. That's the end of August. I mean
747
00:39:13.500 --> 00:39:16.500
we're talking about semantics there, but it is Our intention
```

```
748
00:39:16.500 --> 00:39:19.500
to submit the application after the consultation
749
00:39:19.500 --> 00:39:22.900
 after you've had regard to it and by the end of August that
750
00:39:22.900 --> 00:39:24.600
 is intention.
751
00:39:25.600 --> 00:39:28.400
I would always just make the point that of course propose changes
can be
752
00:39:28.400 --> 00:39:31.100
 submitted at any points during an examination subject to
753
00:39:31.100 --> 00:39:34.700
there being enough time and subject to you regarding further
754
00:39:34.700 --> 00:39:37.200
 consultation in many. If not,
755
00:39:37.200 --> 00:39:40.900
 all Development Center order applications. A proposed
00:39:40.900 --> 00:39:43.300
 change may not be submitted before the examination has started
757
00:39:43.300 --> 00:39:46.300
but actually is submitted during the examination. It is not
758
00:39:46.300 --> 00:39:47.800
 an common.
759
00:39:49.500 --> 00:39:52.100
Our positions is that as we set this out in a
760
00:39:52.100 --> 00:39:55.600
 letter to the 21st of July with the revised timetable
```

```
761
00:39:55.600 --> 00:39:58.600
 that we proposed which is a mixture which actually
762
00:39:58.600 --> 00:40:01.400
wasn't dealing with the change application. It was having regards
763
00:40:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.500
 consultation responses from third parties
764
00:40:04.500 --> 00:40:07.200
who are concerned about your timetable panel's time interval and
765
00:40:07.200 --> 00:40:10.500
August and move for the written reps. The 20th. September
766
00:40:10.500 --> 00:40:13.400
was having regard to that. We were not and
767
00:40:13.400 --> 00:40:16.700
 people the councils and local and interested
768
00:40:16.700 --> 00:40:19.400
 parties can of course submit their written reps and
769
00:40:19.400 --> 00:40:23.300
 they're local Port reserving their position on the
770
00:40:22.300 --> 00:40:24.300
 changes application.
771
00:40:25.800 --> 00:40:28.500
And with comments on the changed application being
772
00:40:28.500 --> 00:40:31.600
 required by you through another procedural decision
773
00:40:31.600 --> 00:40:34.100
 to be for example submitted on the
```

774

```
00:40:34.100 --> 00:40:34.900
 fourth of October.
775
00:40:35.900 --> 00:40:38.300
We then in time for the procedure for
776
00:40:38.300 --> 00:40:41.100
 the hearings the bank of hearings. You have programmed of course
have
777
00:40:41.100 --> 00:40:44.600
 to slip if you take into account a later date with written reps.
778
00:40:44.600 --> 00:40:47.800
 I'm straying into timetable now myself, but that
779
00:40:47.800 --> 00:40:50.300
 can still be heard in here and you still have three months left of
780
00:40:50.300 --> 00:40:51.000
 examination to go.
781
00:40:53.300 --> 00:40:56.300
Apologies for straying into your item four appreciate that
782
00:40:56.300 --> 00:40:59.400
 but it does some kind of
783
00:40:59.400 --> 00:41:02.500
 most but those are my submissions following the
784
00:41:02.500 --> 00:41:05.500
 various representations. You've
785
00:41:05.500 --> 00:41:07.200
heard all right to some extent these
786
00:41:07.900 --> 00:41:10.100
Issues do inevitably overlap and I
```

787

```
00:41:10.100 --> 00:41:12.500
 thank you for your response, Mr. Griffiths.
788
00:41:13.300 --> 00:41:16.700
And we will take a break now for lunch. Can
789
00:41:16.700 --> 00:41:19.700
I just say something you're you mentioned you
790
00:41:19.700 --> 00:41:22.300
 sorry you address the panel, please?
791
00:41:22.300 --> 00:41:25.600
Yes, Paula McKenzie Wellington Parish
792
00:41:25.600 --> 00:41:28.300
 Count Council. Yes, and you mentioned in your comments
793
00:41:28.300 --> 00:41:32.300
 about a consultation that you ran from July June
00:41:31.300 --> 00:41:34.700
to July on the 6th. We're Suffolk.
795
00:41:34.700 --> 00:41:37.300
We had no consultation in Suffolk.
796
00:41:38.400 --> 00:41:40.100
That was all held in Cambridgeshire.
797
00:41:40.700 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.800
and not only that we had a parish meeting
798
00:41:43.800 --> 00:41:46.500
 on the 16th and some of our parishioners still
799
00:41:46.500 --> 00:41:49.200
hadn't received a letter to inform them
800
00:41:49.200 --> 00:41:49.400
 that they
```

```
801
00:41:51.200 --> 00:41:54.200
that it was going to be held so I would just like
802
00:41:54.200 --> 00:41:57.500
 the noted that some of our pressioners aren't
803
00:41:57.500 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.100
 even aware of the changes. They've only heard it through
804
00:42:00.100 --> 00:42:00.500
us.
805
00:42:01.400 --> 00:42:04.400
Right, the panel will take note of those
806
00:42:04.400 --> 00:42:07.000
 comments. Yes, I can see no, thank you.
807
00:42:07.100 --> 00:42:09.400
And again, I would urge.
808
00:42:10.400 --> 00:42:13.300
And again, this is an opportunity that I adverted to
809
00:42:13.300 --> 00:42:16.300
 earlier in the meeting since we are face to
810
00:42:16.300 --> 00:42:19.500
 face the reason to engage if it's
811
00:42:19.500 --> 00:42:22.600
 possible and I would encourage that on those
812
00:42:22.600 --> 00:42:24.800
 sorts of issues. Thank you for that.
813
00:42:25.800 --> 00:42:28.200
So that's there any other comments at
814
```

```
00:42:28.200 --> 00:42:31.600
 this time? It's 10 to
815
00:42:31.600 --> 00:42:34.500
 just gone ten to one. We'll take a break for lunch now
816
00:42:34.500 --> 00:42:37.900
 and we will endeavor to
817
00:42:37.900 --> 00:42:40.500
 provide some clarity. I
818
00:42:40.500 --> 00:42:43.100
 think when we come back.
819
00:42:45.300 --> 00:42:48.100
In terms of the way forward. How long do people
820
00:42:48.100 --> 00:42:49.400
 thinking for lunch?
821
00:42:52.700 --> 00:42:55.100
You want to know 30 minutes 30 minutes?
822
00:42:56.300 --> 00:42:56.800
45
823
00:42:58.400 --> 00:43:01.300
We need yeah, shall we?
824
00:43:01.300 --> 00:43:06.200
 I think we've better bake it to Quarter Two. So
825
00:43:04.200 --> 00:43:07.500
 shall we
826
00:43:07.500 --> 00:43:10.500
 say to court 12 quarter to
827
00:43:10.500 --> 00:43:12.000
 two? That's right with everyone.
```

828 00:43:12.800 --> 00:43:15.800 So we'll agenda for lunch now until 1:45. Thank 829 00:43:15.800 --> 00:43:16.200 you very much.